MOTION UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2255 TO VACATE, SET ASIDE, OR CORRECT

SENTENCE BY A PERSON IN FEDERAL CUSTODY District MARYLAND United States District Court Docket or Case No .: Name (under which you were convicted): MELVIN THOMPSON CCB=11-0114 ' Prisoner No.: Place of Confinement: ENTERED OTISVILLE FEDERAL CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTE Movant (include name (under which convicted) UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V. MELVIN THOMPSON MOTION 1. (a) Name and location of court which entered the judgment of conviction you are challenging: EPUTY United States District Court For The District Of Maryland (b) Criminal docket or case number (if you know): CCB-11-0114 (a) Date of the judgment of conviction (if you know): January 7, 2013 (b) Date of sentencing. January 15, 2013 Length of sentence: 120 Month's Nature of crime (all counts): 1 count of Title 21 U.S.C. §846. 4. 5. (a) What was your plea? (Check one) (3) Nolo contendere (no contest) (1) Not guilty (2) Guilty X (b) If you entered a guilty plea to one count or indictment, and a not guilty plea to another count or what did you plead guilty to and what did you plead not guilty to? N/A

Jury 🔲

Judge only [

If you went to trial, what kind of trial did you have? (Check one)

7.	Did you testify at a pretrial hearing, trial, or post-trial hearing? Yes □ No □
8.	Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction? Yes □ No □
9.	If you did appeal, answer the following: (a) Name of court: (b) Docket or case number (if you know):
	(c) Result:
	(e) Citation to the case (if you know):
	(f) Grounds raised:
	(g) Did you file a petition for certiorari in the United States Supreme Court? Yes \(\sqrt{No \mathbb{K}} \) If "Yes," answer the following: (1) Docket or case number (if you know):
	(2) Result:
	(3) Date of result (if you know): (4) Citation to the case (if you know): (5) Grounds raised:
10.	Other than the direct appeals listed above, have you previously filed any other motions, petitions, or applications, concerning this judgment of conviction in any court? Yes No
11.	If your answer to Question 10 was "Yes," give the following information: (a) (1) Name of court:
	(2) Docket or case number (if you know):
	(3) Date of filing (if you know):

	(4)	Nature of the pro-	oceeding:		
	(5)	Grounds raised:		_	
	-				
	(6)	Did you receive	a hearing where e	vidence was	given on your motion, petition, or application?
		Yes □	No 🗆		·
	(7)	Result:			·
	(8)	Date of result (if	f you know):		
(b)	If yo	ou filed any secor	nd motion, petition	, or application	on, give the same information:
	(1)	Name of court:			
	(2)	Docket of case r	number (if you kno	1.	
	(3)	Date of filing (if	you know):		
	(4)	Nature of the pro	oceedina:		
	(5)	Grounds raised:	·		
					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
					·
	(6)	Did you receive	a hearing where e	vidence was	given on your motion, petition, or application?
		Yes □	No □		
	(7)	Result:			
	(8)	Date of result (if			
(c)	Did	you appeal to a fe	ederal appellate co		risdiction over the action taken on your motion, petition,
or a	pplic	cation?		-	
	(1)	First petition:	Yes □	No 🗀	
	(2)	Second petition:	Yes □	No □	
(d)	If yo	ou did not appeal	from the action or	any motion,	petition, or application, explain briefly why you did not:
•	-				
	-				

12. For this motion, state every ground on which you claim that you are being held in violation of the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States. Attach additional pages if you have more than four grounds. State the <u>facts</u> supporting each ground.

(a) Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.): Mr. Sullivan clearly performed deficient, causing manifest prejudice when he failed to protect Mr. Thompson during the sentencing phase n, all of a sudden the government put Mr. Thompson and court on ice that is was abandoning the Assault Second Degree, but was now sing the two previous drug convictions. Mr. Thompson accepted the agreement "soley" on the basis of whether he would qualify as a eer Offender. There was no mention whatsoever about the previous g offenses. Mr. Sullivan deficient performance clearly prejudiced Mrmpson. In fact, had Mr. Sullivan protected Mr. Thompson, the results Mr. Thompson sentence would have been different. ———————————————————————————————————	י עאטט	ONE: INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL
Mr. Sullivan clearly performed deficient, causing manifest prejudice when he failed to protect Mr. Thompson during the sentencing phase n, all of a sudden the government put Mr. Thompson and court on ice that is was abandoning the Assault Second Degree, but was now sing the two previous drug convictions. Mr. Thompson accepted the a agreement "soley" on the basis of whether he would qualify as a eer Offender. There was no mention whatsoever about the previous offenses. Mr. Sullivan deficient performance clearly prejudiced Mr mpson. In fact, had Mr. Sullivan protected Mr. Thompson, the results Mr. Thompson sentence would have been different. Failure to put on notice, is reversable error. (b) Direct Appeal of Ground One: (1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue? Yes \Bo No \Bo \Conviction Proceedings: (1) Did you raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why: (c) Post-Conviction Proceedings: (1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application? Yes \Bo No \Bo \Conviction Proceedings: (1) Did you answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state: Type of motion or petition: Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed: Docket or case number (if you know): Date of the court's decision:		
when he failed to protect Mr. Thompson during the sentencing phase n, all of a sudden the government put Mr. Thompson and court on ice that is was abandoning the Assault Second Degree, but was now sing the two previous drug convictions. Mr. Thompson accepted the a agreement "soley" on the basis of whether he would qualify as a eer Offender. There was no mention whatsoever about the previous g offenses. Mr. Sullivan deficient performance clearly prejudiced Mr mpson. In fact, had Mr. Sullivan protected Mr. Thompson, the results Mr. Thompson sentence would have been different.		
when he failed to protect Mr. Thompson during the sentencing phase n, all of a sudden the government put Mr. Thompson and court on ice that is was abandoning the Assault Second Degree, but was now sing the two previous drug convictions. Mr. Thompson accepted the a agreement "soley" on the basis of whether he would qualify as a eer Offender. There was no mention whatsoever about the previous g offenses. Mr. Sullivan deficient performance clearly prejudiced Mr mpson. In fact, had Mr. Sullivan protected Mr. Thompson, the results Mr. Thompson sentence would have been different.	Mr.	Sullivan clearly performed deficient, causing manifest prejudice
n, all of a sudden the government put Mr. Thompson and court on ice that is was abandoning the Assault Second Degree, but was now sing the two previous drug convictions. Mr. Thompson accepted the a agreement "soley" on the basis of whether he would qualify as a eer Offender. There was no mention whatsoever about the previous g offenses. Mr. Sullivan deficient performance clearly prejudiced Mrmpson. In fact, had Mr. Sullivan protected Mr. Thompson, the results Mr. Thompson sentence would have been different.	when	he failed to protect Mr. Thompson during the sentencing phase
sing the two previous drug convictions. Mr. Thompson accepted the agreement "soley" on the basis of whether he would qualify as a eer Offender. There was no mention whatsoever about the previous offenses. Mr. Sullivan deficient performance clearly prejudiced Mr mpson. In fact, had Mr. Sullivan protected Mr. Thompson, the results Mr. Thompson sentence would have been different.	n, al	l of a sudden the government put Mr. Thompson and court on
sing the two previous drug convictions. Mr. Thompson accepted the a agreement "soley" on the basis of whether he would qualify as a eer Offender. There was no mention whatsoever about the previous g offenses. Mr. Sullivan deficient performance clearly prejudiced Mr mpson. In fact, had Mr. Sullivan protected Mr. Thompson, the results Mr. Thompson sentence would have been different.	ic <u>e t</u>	hat is was abandoning the Assault Second Degree, but was now
a agreement "soley" on the basis of whether he would qualify as a eer Offender. There was no mention whatsoever about the previous goffenses. Mr. Sullivan deficient performance clearly prejudiced Mr mpson. In fact, had Mr. Sullivan protected Mr. Thompson, the results Mr. Thompson sentence would have been different.	sing	the two previous drug convictions. Mr. Thompson accepted the
g offenses. Mr. Sullivan deficient performance clearly prejudiced Mr mpson. In fact, had Mr. Sullivan protected Mr. Thompson, the results Mr. Thompson sentence would have been different. Failure to put on notice, is reversable error. (b) Direct Appeal of Ground One: (1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue? Yes \(\) No \(\) (2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why: (c) Post-Conviction Proceedings: (1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application? Yes \(\) No \(\) (2) If you answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state: Type of motion or petition: Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed: Docket or case number (if you know): Date of the court's decision:	a agr	eement "soley" on the basis of whether he would qualify as a
mpson. In fact, had Mr. Sullivan protected Mr. Thompson, the results Mr. Thompson sentence would have been different.	eer O	ffender. There was no mention whatsoever about the previous
Thompson sentence would have been different. Failure to put on notice, is reversable error. (b) Direct Appeal of Ground One: (1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue? Yes \Boxedon No \Boxedon (2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why: (c) Post-Conviction Proceedings: (1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application? Yes \Boxedon No \Boxedon (2) If you answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state: Type of motion or petition: Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed: Docket or case number (if you know): Date of the court's decision:	g off	enses. Mr. Sullivan deficient performance clearly prejudiced Mr.
**Thompson sentence would have been different. **Failure to put on notice, is reversable error. (b) Direct Appeal of Ground One: (1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue? Yes \(\) No \(\) (2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why: (c) Post-Conviction Proceedings: (1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application? Yes \(\) No \(\) (2) If you answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state: Type of motion or petition: Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed: Docket or case number (if you know): Date of the court's decision:	npson	. In fact, had Mr. Sullivan protected Mr. Thompson, the results
(b) Direct Appeal of Ground One: (1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue? Yes \(\) No \(\) (2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why: (c) Post-Conviction Proceedings: (1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application? Yes \(\) No \(\) (2) If you answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state: Type of motion or petition: Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed: Docket or case number (if you know): Date of the court's decision:	vr. T	hompson sentence would have been different.
(b) Direct Appeal of Ground One: (1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue? Yes □ No □ (2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why: (c) Post-Conviction Proceedings: (1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application? Yes □ No ☑ (2) If you answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state: Type of motion or petition: Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed: Docket or case number (if you know): Date of the court's decision:	- <u></u>	Failure to put on notice, is reversable error.
Yes \(\text{No } \square \) (2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why: (c) Post-Conviction Proceedings: (1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application? Yes \(\text{No } \) (2) If you answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state: Type of motion or petition: Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed: Docket or case number (if you know): Date of the court's decision:	(b) I	
(c) Post-Conviction Proceedings: (1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application? Yes \(\subseteq \text{No } \overline{\mathbb{E}} \) (2) If you answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state: Type of motion or petition: Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed: Docket or case number (if you know): Date of the court's decision:	(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?
(c) Post-Conviction Proceedings: (1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application? Yes \(\subseteq \text{No } \overline{\mathbb{E}} \) (2) If you answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state: Type of motion or petition: Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed: Docket or case number (if you know): Date of the court's decision:		Yes □ No □ .
(1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application? Yes □ No ☑ (2) If you answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state: Type of motion or petition: Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed: Docket or case number (if you know): Date of the court's decision:	(2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:
(1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application? Yes □ No ☑ (2) If you answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state: Type of motion or petition: Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed: Docket or case number (if you know): Date of the court's decision:		
(1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application? Yes □ No ☑ (2) If you answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state: Type of motion or petition: Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed: Docket or case number (if you know): Date of the court's decision:		
Yes \(\text{No } \overline{\mathbb{N}}\) (2) If you answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state: Type of motion or petition: Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed: Docket or case number (if you know): Date of the court's decision:	(c) F	Post-Conviction Proceedings:
(2) If you answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state: Type of motion or petition: Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed: Docket or case number (if you know): Date of the court's decision:	(1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application?
Type of motion or petition: Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed: Docket or case number (if you know): Date of the court's decision:		Yes □ No 🙀
Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed: Docket or case number (if you know): Date of the court's decision:	. (2) If you answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state:
Docket or case number (if you know): Date of the court's decision:	7	Type of motion or petition:
Date of the court's decision:	1	Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed:
Date of the court's decision:		
	Ī	Docket or case number (if you know):
Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):	Ι	Date of the court's decision:
	F	Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):
	_	
	(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion, petition, or application? Yes □ No □

(b) Direct Appeal of Ground Two:

(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?

Yes □ No □

	<u> </u>
Pos	t-Conviction Proceedings:
(1)	Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application?
•	Yes □ No 🙀
(2)	If you answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state:
Tyŗ	pe of motion or petition:
Nar	ne and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed:
Doc	cket or case number (if you know):
Dat	e of the court's decision:
Res	ult (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):
(3)	Did you receive a hearing on your motion, petition, or application?
	Yes □ No □
(4)	Did you appeal from the denial of your motion, petition, or application?
	Yes □ No □ .
(5)	If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," did you raise the issue in the appeal?
	Yes □ No □
(6)	If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," state:
	ne and location of the court where the appeal was filed:
	1
	ket or case number (if you know):
	e of the court's decision:
Kesi	ult (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):
(7)	If your answer to Question (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," explain why you did not appeal or
issu	e:

, ,		
(b)	Direct Appeal of Ground Three:	
	(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?	
	Yes □ No □	
	(2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:	
(c)	Post-Conviction Proceedings:	
	(1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application?	
	Yes 🗆 No 🙀	
	(2) If you answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state:	
	Type of motion or petition:	
	Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed:	
	Docket or case number (if you know):	
	Date of the court's decision:	
	Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):	
	(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion, petition, or application?	
	Yes □ No □	
	(4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion, petition, or application?	
	Yes □ No □	
	(5) If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," did you raise the issue in the appeal?	
	Yes □ No □	
	(6) If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," state:	

D	ocket or case number (if you know):
D	ate of the court's decision:
R	esult (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):
_	
	If your answer to Question (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," explain why you did not appeal or raise this sue:
_	
— Yenin t	YOYIDa
UND	OUR:
(a) S	upporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.):
	·
(b) D	rirect Appeal of Ground Four:
(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?
(Yes ☐ No ☐ 2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:
	2) If you did not faise this issue in your direct appear, explain why.
• •	ost-Conviction Proceedings: 1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application?
(Yes \(\sum \) No \(\mathbb{T} \)
ſ.	2) If you answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state:
•	Type of motion or petition:

Dock	et or case numbe	r (if you know):	
Date	of the court's dec	cision:	
Resul	t (attach a copy o	of the court's opinion or order, if available):	
(3)	Did you receive	a hearing on your motion, petition, or application?	
	Yes □	No 🗆	
(4)	Did you appeal f	rom the denial of your motion, petition, or application?	
	Yes 🗆	No □	
(5)	f your answer to	Question (c)(4) is "Yes," did you raise the issue in the appeal?	
	Yes 🗆	No □	
(6)	f your answer to	Question (c)(4) is "Yes," state:	
Name	and location of	the court where the appeal was filed:	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Date	of the court's de		
Date Result (7)	of the court's de It (attach a copy If your answer to	ecision:	
Date Resu	of the court's de It (attach a copy If your answer to	of the court's opinion or order, if available):	
Date Result (7)	of the court's de It (attach a copy If your answer to	of the court's opinion or order, if available):	
Date Result (7)	of the court's de It (attach a copy If your answer to	of the court's opinion or order, if available):	
Date Result (7)	of the court's de It (attach a copy If your answer to	of the court's opinion or order, if available):	
(7) issue	of the court's de It (attach a copy If your answer to	of the court's opinion or order, if available):	ot appeal or
(7) issue	of the court's de It (attach a copy If your answer to	of the court's opinion or order, if available): o Question (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," explain why you did no	ot appeal or
(7) issue	of the court's de It (attach a copy If your answer to If your answer to If your answer to If your answer to	of the court's opinion or order, if available): O Question (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," explain why you did not some federal count that you have not previously presented in some federal count been presented, and state your reasons for not presenting them:	ot appeal or
(7) issue	of the court's de It (attach a copy If your answer to If your answer to If your answer to If your answer to	of the court's opinion or order, if available): o Question (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," explain why you did no	ot appeal or
(7) issue	of the court's de It (attach a copy If your answer to If your answer to If your answer to If your answer to	of the court's opinion or order, if available): O Question (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," explain why you did not some federal count that you have not previously presented in some federal count been presented, and state your reasons for not presenting them:	ot appeal or
Date Result (7) issue	of the court's de It (attach a copy If your answer to If your answer to If your answer to If your answer to	of the court's opinion or order, if available): O Question (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," explain why you did not some federal count that you have not previously presented in some federal count been presented, and state your reasons for not presenting them:	ot appeal or

12200	s raised.
	the name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of the
	re challenging: t the preliminary hearing: Timothy J. Sullivan
a) r	the premimary hearing. Ithours J. Sullivan
Դ) A	t the arraignment and plea: Timothy J. Sullivan
	Tanothy 3. Sullivan
(c) A	t the trial:
U) A	t the trial:
(d) A	t sentencing:
	~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	istopher J. Parpua Esq.
(6)	n appeal: N/A
Δ T	
(І) П	any post-conviction proceeding: N/A
g) (n appeal from any ruling against you in a post-conviction proceeding: N/A
Were	you sentenced on more than one court of an indictment, or on more than one indictment, in the same c
ınd a	the same time? Yes \(\subseteq \) No \(\text{N} \)
	nu have any future sentence to serve after you complete the sentence for the judgment that you are nging? Yes. No No
a) 11	so, give name and location of court that imposed the other sentence you will serve in the future:
	
	ive the date the other sentence was imposed:
ы. <i>С</i>	AND THE TIME THE WHITE MEHITIME WAS AUDIONEAL.
	ive the length of the other sentence:

18. TIMELINESS OF MOTION: If your judgment of conviction became final over one year ago, you must explain why the one-year statute of limitations as contained in 28 U.S.C. § 2255 does not bar your motion.*

243 (Rev. 11/08)						•	P
· · · · · ·	` .						
	<u> </u>				··		
							-
				-a.·-			
					_		
					· · · ·	·	
	· - -		~	·····			
					·		
		-					
<u></u>							
							-
	<u> </u>						
							
							
_	<u> </u>		.		.		
-				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
						 -	

 \overrightarrow{A} one-year period of limitation shall apply to a motion under this section. The limitation period shall run from the latest of -

(1) the date on which the judgment of conviction became final;

QL

(2) the date on which the impediment to making a motion created by governmental action in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States is removed, if the movant was prevented from making such a motion by such governmental action;

(3) the date on which the right asserted was initially recognized by the Supreme Court, if that right has been newly recognized by the Supreme Court and made retroactively applicable to cases on collateral review; or

(4) the date on which the facts supporting the claim or claims presented could have been discovered through the exercise of due diligence.

^{*} The Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996 ("AEDPA") as contained in 28 U.S.C. § 2255, paragraph 6, provides in part that:

any other relief to which movant may be entitled.	
	Signature of Attorney (if any)
eclare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of p der 28 U.S.C. § 2255 was placed in the prison mailing	ng system on July 22, 2013

If the person signing is not movant, state relationship to movant and explain why movant is not signing this motion.

Mr. Melvin Thompson 53171-037 FCI Otisville PO Box 1000 Otisville, New York 10963

⇔53171-037⇔
District Of Maryland
101 W Lombard ST
Baltimore, MD 21201
United States

LEGAL MAIL

LEGAL MAIL

հեմիկ-իրիկին-իրագրինակ-իրի